

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/563,851	RORIG ET AL		
	Examiner JACOB J. CIGNA	Art Unit 3726		
All Participants: (1) <u>JACOB J. CIGNA</u> . (2) <u>Charles Musserlain</u> .	Status of Application: _____			
Date of Interview: <u>20 May 2010</u>	Time: <u>12:45pm</u>			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Rejection of Claim 1 over prior art in general, and 35 USC 112.</i>				
Claims discussed: <i>1 and 2</i>				
Prior art documents discussed: <i>None specifically</i>				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Examiner asked Attorney to combine claims 1 and 2 into a single claim, and to fix the 112 error in claim 1.</i>				
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				